

United States Patents and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,653	12/22/2000	Beate Heimberg	97P 8646P	3284
75	590 02/26/2003			
SIEMENS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
	AL PROPERTY DEPT. VENUE SOUTH		TURNER, ARCHENE A	
ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	16
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/530,653	HEIMBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Archene Turner	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on j	11 December 2002 .				
_ ` <u>_</u> `	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 23-31 is/are pending in the applic					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Appli	ication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 16			

Art Unit: 1775

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase 'throughout a full depth", in claim 23 could not be found in the original specification, rendering all the claims indefinite.
- 3. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation in claim 29 could not be found in the original specification, rendering the claim indefinite.
- 4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The oxide is selected from the group consisting of NiO, CoO, Al₂O₃, Cr₂O₃, MgO, ZrO₂ and HfO₂ is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re*

Art Unit: 1775

Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). There is support for other oxides other than the ones above, in the specification.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 6. Claims 23-26, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beale (6,127,048) or Hasz et al (5,914,189 or 5,773,141).
- 7. Claims 23-26, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siemens (WO 96/34128) or Toyota Motor Corp (JP 63-274751) or Druchitz et al (5,037,070) or United Technologies Corp (EP 185605).
- 8. The rejections are applied to the newly presented claims for reasons of record in Paper No. 10. Applicant's arguments filed 12-11-02 have been fully considered but they

Art Unit: 1775

are not persuasive. The applicant argues that because the above references do not explicitly call the spinel layer a thermal barrier coating the references teaches away from the invention. This is not found persuasive, because the invention is not limited to just having one coating on the claimed metallic substrate and since the composition of the claimed coating is disclosed the rejection stands.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beale (6,127,048) or Hasz et al (5,914,189 or 5,773,141) or Siemens (WO 96/34128) or Toyota Motor Corp (JP 63-274751) or Druchitz et al (5,037,070) or United Technologies Corp (EP 185605) in view of Demaray (4,676,994) or Strangman (4,321,311).

The primary references disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for the claimed roughness.

Demaray or Strangman disclose the know technique of roughening of substrates to improve anchoring of coating to substrate.

Art Unit: 1775

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the substrates or a bond coat with some toughness, as this technique is know in the art to improve bonding, as shown by Demaray or Strangman.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry regarding this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Archene Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4344. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1775

Page 6

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1700, Crystal Plaza 2, 8th floor, reception area. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 305-3599 (for official after final faxes) or (703) 305-5408 (for all other official faxes). This location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Art Unit 1775. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to Examiners in Art Unit 1775.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat